

United States Patent and Trademark Office

the course

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WWW.HEPLO. GOV.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/029,319	10/17/2001	William N. Partlo	2001-0095-1	4334	
7	590 04/08/2003				
Cymer, Inc. Legal Department - MS/1-2A 16750 Via Del Campo Court			EXAMINER		
			MONBLEAU, DAVIENNE N		
San Diego, CA 92127-1712			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828	2828	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action 10022,319		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner Davienne Monbleau 2828	Advisory Action	10/029,319	CYMER, I NC					
The RAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address – THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003. FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116; place the period for reply expires 2 months from the maling date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the maling date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the maling date of this Advisory Action or (2) the date as forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with teathursy period for reply expire later hands 35 MONTHS from the maling date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS SOX WHEN THE FIRST REFLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7000 MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	, talles, y, tester.	Examiner	Art Unit					
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. 2		Davienne Monbleau	2828					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. (b) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. (b) the mailing date of the final rejection. (b) the check of the period for reply expires or (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. (b) CHCFCK THIS BOX WHEAT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peniod of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peniod of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peniod of extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection. (c) above, the checks. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension. The correct of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension. The peniod and the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension. The peniod and the peniod set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(a)), to avoid dismissal of the gapeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they arise the issue of ne	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with estatutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHs from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 700 MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 700 MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION of the final rejection with the final final rejection of the final final period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been discovered that the final final rejection, even if the final of the final final rejection, even if the final final final rejection, even if the final final final final rejection in the final fina	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
D	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ★ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ★ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ★ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ★ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ★ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ★ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ★ The a) ★ affidavit, b) ★ exhibit, or c) ★ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ★ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ★ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ★ will not be entered or b) ★ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2.	37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: PAUL IP Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: PAUL IP Claim(s) objected to: PAUL IP Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: PAUL IP Claim(s) objected to: PAPENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
issues for appeal; and/or (d)								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			,					
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Technology center 2800 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
Claim(s) objected to: PAUL IP Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		0 40					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) allowed:		Tanl Do					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) objected to:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 9-19.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
	8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
10. Other:	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
	10. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 10/029,319

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the arrangement of the blade members and the segments, the blade members and hub members being machined as a monolithic unit from a single block of material, and the fan motors raise new issues and reguiqre further consideration.